Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

The second second second second

AUG 29 1097

In the Matter of)	
Request of Limited Modification of))	06-159
LATA Boundaries to Provide ELCS)	0/6 13
Between the Streetman)	
exchange and the Wortham and)	
Mexia exchanges.)	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
		OPY ORIGINAL
	PETITION	~

I. INTRODUCTION

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT), pursuant to Section 3(25) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and in accordance with the guidelines established in the Commission's Memorandum Opinion and Order (MO&O) released July 15, 1997 in CC Docket No. 96-159, hereby makes application for a limited modification of LATA boundaries to provide ELCS between the Streetman exchange and the Wortham and Mexia exchanges.

II. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

As prescribed in paragraph 23 of the aforementioned Commission MO&O, SWBT provides the following information in support of its application:

1. <u>Type of service</u>: Flat-rate, non-optional Expanded Local Calling (ELC);

the of Copies rood 674 Lier ANDOS

¹ The Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. et al.

² Memorandum Opinion and Order, <u>Petitions for Limited Modification of LATA Boundaries to Provide Expanded Local Calling Service at Various Locations</u>, CC Docket No. 96-159, released July 15, 1997. By way of this MO&O the Commission adopted a format for and criteria under which such petitions would be granted. The format and criteria are detailed in paragraphs 23 and 24.

- 2. <u>Direction of service</u>: Two-way;
- 3. <u>Exchanges involved</u>: Streetman in the Dallas, TX LATA, Wortham in the Waco, TX LATA and Mexia in the Waco, TX LATA;
- 4. <u>Name of carriers</u>: Streetman of Contel/GTE Southwest, Inc., Wortham of Southwestern Bell Telephone and Mexia of Southwestern Bell Telephone;
- 5. State commission approval(s): See Attachment A;
- 6. <u>Number of access lines or customers</u>: Streetman has 709 access lines, Wortham has 647 access lines and Mexia has 5.194 access lines;
- 7. <u>Usage data</u>: Usage data is not available to Southwestern Bell Telephone. SWBT does not currently carry traffic across LATA boundaries;
- 8. <u>Poll results</u>: Percentage of Streetman customers returning ballots who voted in favor of ELC to Wortham: Greater than 70 percent. Percentage of Streetman customers returning ballots who voted in favor of ELC to Mexia: Greater than 70 percent. Where SWBT is the petitioning exchange, there is no proposed rate increase. Where SWBT is not the petitioning exchange, SWBT does not have information as to any proposed rate increase.
- 9. <u>Community of interest statement</u>: The Public Utility Commission of Texas includes a Community of Interest Finding in their Order(s). See Attachment A.
- 10. Map: See Attachment B; and,
- 11. Other pertinent information: None

III. PRIMA FACIE SHOWING

SWBT believes that it has made a *prima facie* case supporting grant of the proposed modification because the instant ELCS petition (1) has been approved by the state commission; (2) proposes only traditional local service (i.e., flat-rate, non-optional ELCS); (3) indicates that the state commission found a sufficient community of interest to warrant such service; (4) documents this community of interest through such evidence as poll results and descriptions of the communities involved; and, (5) involves a limited number of customers or

access lines. These requirements for a *prima facie* case are detailed in the aforementioned Commission MO&O paragraph 24.

IV. CONCLUSION

Wherefore, SWBT request that the Commission approve its application for a limited modification of LATA boundaries to provide ELCS between the Streetman exchange and the Wortham and Mexia exchanges.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

Robert M. Lynch

Robert M. Lynch Durward D. Dupre Mary W. Marks Marjorie M. Weisman

Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

One Bell Center, Room 3520 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 235-2507

AUGUST 29, 1997

DOCKET NO. 13688

By.

SHEET 1

PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL CALLING SERVICE FROM THE RICHLAND \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGES OF \$ MEXIA AND WORTHAM ORDER NO. 8 DOCKET NO. 13689 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ CALLING SERVICE FROM THE NORDHEIM \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ EXCHA							
EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGES OF \$ OF TEXAS MEXIA AND WORTHAM ORDER NO. 8 DOCKET NO. 13689 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE NORDHEIM\$ OF TEXAS EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS KENEDY ORDER NO. 9 DOCKET NO. 13690 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS LULING ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE \$ OF TEXAS	PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL	§ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION					
ORDER NO. 8 DOCKET NO. 13689 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE NORDHEIM\$ OF TEXAS EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ OF TEXAS PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ OF TEXAS EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ OF TEXAS EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE \$ OF TEXAS	CALLING SERVICE FROM THE RICHLAND						
ORDER NO. 8 DOCKET NO. 13689 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS		3					
PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE NORDHEIM§ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS KENEDY § ORDER NO. 9 DOCKET NO. 13690 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS LULING § ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE §	MEXIA AND WORTHAM	§					
PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE NORDHEIM\$ OF TEXAS EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS ORDER NO. 9 DOCKET NO. 13690 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS LULING ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE \$ OF TEXAS	· 3.						
PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE NORDHEIM\$ OF TEXAS EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS ORDER NO. 9 DOCKET NO. 13690 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS LULING ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ CALING SERVICE F	DOCKET	NO 13689					
CALLING SERVICE FROM THE NORDHEIM\$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS KENEDY \$ ORDER NO. 9 DOCKET NO. 13690 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS LULING \$ ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE \$ OF TEXAS	Docker	20.75					
EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS KENEDY \$ ORDER NO. 9 DOCKET NO. 13690 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS LULING \$ ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE \$ OF TEXAS							
ORDER NO. 9 DOCKET NO. 13690 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS LULING ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE \$ OF TEXAS		7 6 1.3					
DOCKET NO. 13690 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS LULING ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE \$	KENEDY						
DOCKET NO. 13690 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS LULING ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE \$							
PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS LULING ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE §	ORDEF	NO. 9					
CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS LULING	DOCKET	NO. 13690					
CALLING SERVICE FROM THE FENTRESS \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA \$ EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF \$ GRAND SALINE \$	PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL	§ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION					
ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE §		§					
ORDER NO. 7 DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE §		3					
DOCKET NO. 13764 PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE §	LULING	§					
PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE §	ORDER NO. 7						
CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § GRAND SALINE §	DOCKET NO. 13764						
CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA § EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF § OF TEXAS GRAND SALINE §	PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL	§ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION					
GRAND SALINE §	CALING SERVICE FROM THE MINEOLA	§					
	EXCHANGE TO THE EXCHANGE OF	§ OF TEXAS					
ORDER NO. 7	GRAND SALINE	§					
DOCKET NO. 13878							
PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION	PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION					
CALLING SERVICE FROM THE §		,					
YORKTOWN EXCHANGE TO THE § STATE OF TEXAS							

ORDER NO. 6

EXCHANGES OF KENEDY AND RUNGE

DOCKET NO. 13689	ORDER NO		TTACHMENT A
-			SHEET 2
DOCKET NO. 13690	ORDER NO		
DOCKET NO. 13764	ORDER NO) , 7	
DOCKET NO. 13878	ORDER NO). 6	
DOCKET NO. 13939	ORDER NO	0.8	
	DOCKET N	O. 13939)	
PETITION FOR EXPANDED LO	CAL §	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMIS	SION
CALLING SERVICE FROM THE			
	J	OF TEXAS	
STREETMAN EXCHANGE TO T	3	OF IEAAS	
EXCHANGES OF MEXIA AND V	VORTHAM &		

ORDER NO. 8 UNABATING AND DIRECTING LOCAL EXCHANGE COMPANY TO FILE FOR LIMITED MODIFICATION

On July 28, 1997, the Commission Staff recommended that, in light of the recent Federal Communications Commission (FCC) order addressing the procedures for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) to request limited modifications of local access and transport area (LATA) boundaries for the provision of expanded local calling service (ELCS), that these applications be unabated. A community of interest has previously been established in these cases and a waiver request was filed by SWBT with the Department of Justice under the *Modified Final Judgment*. Therefore, these applications are unabated.

Within thirty days of the effective date of this order, SWBT shall file a request for limited modification of the LATA boundary in accordance with the procedures outlined *In the Matter of Petitions for Limited Modification of LATA Boundaries to Provide Expanded Local Calling Service (ELCS) at Various Locations*, CC Docket No. 96-159, FCC 97-244, (rel. July 15, 1997) *Memorandum Opinin and Order*, §§ 23 & 24.

DGC LET NO. 13689	ORDER NO. 9
DOCKET NO. 13690	ORDER NO. 7
DOCKET NO. 13764	ORDER NO. 7
DOCKET NO. 13878	ORDER NO. 6
DOCKET NO. 13939	ORDER NO. 8

ATTACHMENT A
SHEET 3

Additionally, within 10 days of the receipt of orders or notices from the FCC relating to these petitions, SWBT shall file such orders or notices with the Commission.



ISSUED BY THE OFFICE OF POLICY DEVELOPMENT ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS ON THE 30th DAY OF JULY, 1997

q:\share\eles\latas.doc

DOCKET NO. 13939

PETITION FOR EXPANDED LOCAL CALLING SERVICE FROM THE STREETMAN EXCHANGE TO THE COOLIDGE, DONIE, GROESBECK, MARLIN, MEXIA, AND WORTHAM EXCHANGES

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF TEXAS

INTERIM ORDER

ゆめののののの

On behalf of the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Commission) the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that this docket is based on a evidentiary record and has been processed in accordance with applicable statutes and Commission rules. There were no disputed issues in this petition.

The following findings of fact and conclusions of law are ADOPTED:

Findings of Fact

- 1. The expanded toll-free local calling service (ELCS) petition that is the subject of this Interim Order request non-optional "to and from calling" between the Streetman Exchange and the Wortham and Mexia Exchanges.
- 2. The processes for petitioning and balloting included notice that the service would have a fee of up to \$3.50 for residential and \$7.00 for business customers on a non-optional basis.
- Judge Harold H. Greene established the LATA boundaries for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWB) in the Modified Final Judgment, *United States v. AT&T*, 552 F. Supp. 131 (D.D.C. 1982) and *United States v. Western Elec. Co., Inc.*, 569 F.Supp. 990 (D.D.C. 1983), and for GTE Southwest, Inc. and Contel of Texas, Inc. (collectively GTE) in the Decree, *United States v. GTE Corp.*, 1985-1 Trade Cas (CCH) §66,355 (D.D.C. 1985). (The collective orders of Judge Greene will hereinafter be referred to as MFJ.)

- 4. A LATA is a geographic area in which SWB and GTE can provide telecommunication services within its boundaries. In the MFJ, Judge Greene restricted the two local exchange carriers from providing interLATA transport. In order for the companies to span the LATA boundaries established by the MFJ, they must obtain a waiver from Judge Greene.
- Judge Greene has relied upon the following issues for SWB or GTE to obtain a waiver of the MFJ: impact on competition; whether the calling plan has the attributes of a long distance toll call; and whether a community of interest exist between the two exchanges.
- On October 19, 1993, the Commission amended P.U.C. SUBST. R. 23.49 by adding a section pertaining to ELCS in accordance with Senate Bill 632, (Act of May 11, 1993, 73rd Leg. R.S., ch.271, 1993 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 1276 (Vernon)(to be codified as an amendment to TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. ANN., Art. 1446c, § 93A) and § 93A of the Public Utility Regulatory Act (PURA), Tex. Rev. Civ. Stat. Ann. art. 1446c (Vernon Supp. 1994). The rule became effective on December 7, 1993.
- 7. The statute and the rule referred to in Finding of Fact No. 6 provide certain requirements for petitioning exchanges to meet in order to receive ELCS. One such requirement is a showing of a community of interest.
- 8. In recommending approval of various waivers before Judge Greene, the Department of Justice (DOJ) has relied upon an affirmative finding of the Public Utility Commission of Texas that a community of interest exists between two exchanges, often based on a vote of the responding subscribers, and whether the two exchanges share such needs as local governments; employment; shopping; and use of educational and medical services.
- 9. An affirmative vote of 70 percent of the subscribers responding to the ballot is necessary for an ELCS petition to proceed at the Commission. The percentage of affirmative votes from those subscribers returning ballots is a compelling showing of a community of interest. This factor can and should be considered with the same weight as other factors, such as the sharing of local government, schools, employment, and commercial centers.

- 10. On July 22, 1994, the Streetman Exchange filed a petition for ELCS between it and the Coolidge, Donie, Groesbeck, Marlin, Mexia, Teague, and Wortham Exchanges, among others.
- On July 19, 1995, Order No. 5 was issued in this proceeding. The order found that sufficient evidence was not presented to show a community of interest exist between the Streetman Exchange and the Coolidge, Donie, Groesbeck, Marlin, and Teague Exchanges.
- 12. The Streetman Exchange is served by Contel, and it is in the Dallas LATA. The Wortham and Mexia Exchanges are served by SWB, and are in the Waco LATA.
- The parties to the proceeding are the petitioning Streetman Exchange, Contel, SWB, and General Counsel. A hearing on the merits was not held because there are no contested issues. There is no statutory deadline for this proceeding.
- 14. The Streetman Exchange is contiguous with the Wortham and Mexia Exchanges.
- 15. An affirmative vote of over 70 percent of those subscribers that voted in the balloting favored expanding local calling scope from the Streetman Exchange to the Wortham and Mexia Exchanges.
- 16. The Streetman Exchange has an approximate population of 271. Streetman provides limited services for the area, such as a U.S. post office and a picture framing business. Streetman does not have any gas stations, grocery stores, automobile dealers, daily newspapers, major department stores, attorneys, specialty stores, and enrichment programs for youth and the elderly.
- 17. Twenty percent of the residents of the Streetman Exchange attend schools in the Wortham Independent School District.
- 18. The medical clinic in the Wortham Exchange provides service for 30 percent of the residents of the Streetman Exchange. Citizens in the Streetman Exchange also use the Harris Methodist Hospital in

Mexia. Many residents of the Streetman Exchange utilize a nursing home located in the Wortham Exchange.

- 19. The Justice of the Peace Court and County Commissioner for the 4th Precinct of Freestone County is located in the Wortham Exchange. The Streetman Exchange is within this precinct. The H.U.D. housing department is located in the Wortham Exchange.
- The Wortham school district employs 75 residents of the Streetman Exchange. Also, the Mexia State School employs 250 people from Freestone County, and Mexia Fabricators, Inc. employs 75 people from the area.
- 21. The Wortham Agriculture Supply and the Wortham Air Conditioning & Sheet Metal Inc. provide services to the citizens in the Streetman Exchange. Businesses and stores in Mexia also provide clothing, food, farm equipment, and home supplies to the people in the Streetman Exchange.
- There is a community of interest between the Streetman Exchange and the Wortham Exchange. The exchanges are contiguous to each other. In addition, the petitioners proved a community of interest with the Wortham Exchange in the following ways: the affirmative vote of the subscribers returning ballots; commonality as a commercial and employment center; commonality of medical facilities and providers; commonality of local government; and, commonality in the school district.
- There is a community of interest between the Streetman Exchange and the Mexia Exchange. The exchanges are contiguous to each other. In addition, the petitioners proved a community of interest with the Mexia Exchange in the following ways: the affirmative vote of the subscribers returning ballots; commonality as a commercial and employment center; and, commonality of medical facilities and providers.
- No issues of law or fact are disputed by any party.
- 25. No hearing on the merits or Commission action is necessary and administrative review is warranted.

INTERIM ORDER

Conclusions of Law

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction in this proceeding pursuant to the Public Utility Regulatory Act of 1995, S.B. 319, §§ 1.101, 3.051, 3.151, 3.155, 2.201, 3.251, and 3.304, 74th Leg., R.S. 1995.
- 2. The standards for community of interest for ELCS in Texas are established in § 3.304(a)(2) of PURA and in P.U.C. SUBST. R. 23.49(c)(3).
- 3. Pursuant to P.U.C. SUBST. R. 23.49(c)(11), ELCS petitions filed prior to the adoption of P.U.C. SUBST. R. 23.49(c) must satisfy the criteria contained within the rule.
- 4. To meet the community of interest standard, P.U.C. SUBST. R. 23.49(c)(3)(B) and § 3.304(a)(2) of PURA require a petitioning exchange to have either a contiguous boundary with the petitioned exchange or require the exchanges covered by the petition to be within a distance of 22 miles of each other. As established in Finding of Fact No. 14, the petitioning exchange satisfies the requirement.
- 5. P.U.C. SUBST. R. 23.49(c)(3)(C) provides that if the exchanges are greater than 22 miles apart, but less than 50 miles, the petitioners must show a community of interest through schools, hospitals, local governments, business centers, or other relationships so that, without ELCS, a hardship on the residents of the petitioning exchange would occur.
- 6. An ELCS docket that has the two exchanges within 22 miles of each other or which are contiguous to each other constitutes a per se showing of community of interest. Judge Greene requires, however, a greater showing of community of interest in order to grant a waiver of the MFJ; thus, the Commission shall address additional findings of a community of interest between the exchanges in this type of proceeding.
- 7. A community of interest standard similar to P.U.C. SUBST. R. 23.49(b)(2) is not applicable to proceedings involving ELCS.

- 8. The standards contained within § 3.304(a)(2) of PURA and P.U.C. SUBST. R. 23.49(c)(3)(B) apply to both contested and uncontested ELCS proceedings.
- 9. P.U.C. SUBST. R. 23.49(c)(5)(D)(ii) and § 3.304 of PURA require an affirmative vote of at least 70 percent of those subscribers returning ballots to establish a community of interest. The statute and rule do not require an affirmative vote of at least 70 percent of all subscribers in the exchange.
- 10. This petition does not constitute a major rate proceeding as defined by P.U.C. PROC. R. 22.2.
- All requirements for administrative review under P.U.C. PROC. R. 22.32(a) have been satisfied; therefore, the proposed petition may be approved by a Hearings Officer under the administrative review provisions of P.U.C. PROC. R. 22.32 as authorized by § 1.101(d) of PURA.

In accordance with the findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Commission issues the following Interim Order:

- 1. The petitioners in the petition filed by the Streetman Exchange for expanded local calling service to the Wortham and Mexia Exchanges have shown a community of interest between the exchanges.
- 2. Within thirty (30) days of this Interim Order, GTE Southwest, Inc. (GTE) and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWB) are **DIRECTED** to file a request for a waiver of the Modified Final Judgment with the Department of Justice or Judge Harold H. Greene, as appropriate.
- 3. Within thirty (30) days of the receipt of the ruling by Judge Greene, GTE and SWB are DIRECTED to file Judge Greene's judgment in this docket.

4. This Interim Order is effective August 21, 1995.

Respectfully submitted,

DEANN T. WALKER


ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

APPROVED this 31st day of July 1995.

STEPHEN J. DAVIS

ACTING DIRECTOR OF HEARINGS

Streetman To Mexia and Wortham



This document was produced by the Texas Exchange Carrier Relations organization of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company on 8/7/97, based on the best information it could obtain from other sources at that time. In addition, it is the Telephone Company's understanding that the data underlying the creation of this document may be subject to change. Southwestern Bell makes no representation as to the accuracy of the information provided to it and used to create this document.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Katie M. Turner, hereby certify that the foregoing, "PETITION OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY" in Docket No. 96-159 has been filed this 29th day of August, 1997 to the Parties of Record.

Katie M. Turner

August 29, 1997

Texas Public Utility Commission 1701 North Congress Austin, Texas 78701